UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

| James E. Norris, |) CASE NO. 1:13 CV 1737 |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff, | JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN |
| Vs. |) |
| Carolyn W. Colvin, Commissioner of Social Security, |) Memorandum of Opinion and Order) |
| Defendant. |)) |

INTRODUCTION

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of Magistrate Judge Vernelis K. Armstrong (Doc. 28) recommending that the decision of the Commissioner be affirmed. Defendant purports to file an objection. For the reasons that follow, the Court ACCEPTS the R&R and for the reasons stated therein, the decision of the Commissioner is AFFIRMED.

ANALYSIS

In this case, pro se plaintiff objects to the R&R on one basis. The entirety of the

Case: 1:13-cv-01737-PAG Doc #: 30 Filed: 08/11/14 2 of 2. PageID #: 1100

objection is as follows:

Reply to recommended report is to have Keaton, hanahan [sic] and Bell medical records from 2009 thru 2012, subpoena. [sic] the missing records will show that I am disabled

under social security law. There the records were not turned in to alj or the appeals

council.

Attached to the objection is a number of subpoenas and three other documents.

The Court concludes that this objection is not entitled to *de novo* review. In order for the

Court to conduct *de novo* review, the party must identify specific portions of the R&R to which

he is objecting. See, Howard v. Sec'y Health & Hum. Servs., 932 F.2d 505, 509 (6 th Cir. 1991).

Here, plaintiff wholly fails in this regard. Plaintiff makes a general statement that he intends to

subpoena additional records that will support his claim for disability. This statement is in no

way tied to any specific portion of the R&R. Accordingly, the Court finds that de novo review is

inappropriate and the Court will review the R&R for clear error.

DECISION

This Court, having reviewed the R&R and finding no clear error, hereby accepts the

Magistrate Judge's R&R. In accordance with that recommendation, the Court hereby AFFIRMS

the decision of the Commissioner for the reasons stated by the Magistrate Judge in the R&R,

which is incorporated herein by reference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/Patricia A. Gaughan

2

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

Date: <u>8/11/14</u>